arXiv:1302.0219v1 [math.GN] 30 Jan 2013

Some new classes of topological spaces and annihilator ideals

A. Taherifar [1]

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Yasouj University, Yasouj, IRAN
ataherifar@mail.yu.ac.ir

Dedicated to professor William Wistar Comfort

Abstract

By characterization of semriprime SA-ring by G. F. Birkenmeier, M. Ghirati and A. Taherifar in [3, Theorem 4.4], and the topological characterization of $C(X)$ as a Baer-ring, by F. Azarpanah and O. A. S Karamzadeh in [2, Theorem 3.5], it is easy to see that $C(X)$ is an SA-ring (resp., IN-ring) if and only if X is an extremally disconnected space. This result motivates the following questions: Question (1): What is X if for any two ideals I and J of $C(X)$ which are generated by two subsets of idempotent, $Ann(I) + Ann(J) = Ann(I \cap J)$? Question (2): When does for any ideal I of $C(X)$ exists a subset S of idempotent such that $Ann(I) = Ann(S)$? Along the line of answering these questions we introduce two topological spaces as follows. We call X an *EF-spece* (resp., *EZ-space*) if any two unions of clopen subsets of X which are disjoint, are completely separated (resp., the closure of any open set equal to the closure of union of a collection of clopen subsets). Topological properties of EF (resp., EZ)-space are investigated. As a consequence, a completely regular Hausdorff space X is an F_α-space in the sense of Comfort and Negrepontis for each infinite cardinal α if and only if X is EF and EZ-space. Among other things, for a reduced ring R we show that Spec(R) is an EZ-space if and only if for every ideal I of R there exists a subset S of idempotent elements of R such that $Ann(I) = Ann(S)$.

1 Preliminaries

A space X is *extremally disconnected* (resp., *basically disconnecte*) if the closure of every open subset is clopen in X (resp., if the closure of any cozeroset is open). It is well known that X is an extremaly disconnected space if and only if any two disjoint open subsets of X are completely separated if and only if every open subset of X is C^*-embedded. In extremaly disconnected space every dense subset is C^*-embedded. The reader is referred to $[6, 1.H]$, [7] and [13]. A topological space is said to be *zero-dimensional* (0-*dimensional*) if it is a non-empty T_1-space with a base consisting of clopen sets, i.e., sets that are simultaneously closed and open. Zero-dimensional spaces were defined by Sierpinski in [11]. Every discrete space is zero-dimensional, but a zero-dimensional space need not have isolated points (an

---

[1] **Mathematics Subject Classification 2000:** Primary: 54G05, 54C40. Secondary: 13A15.
Keywords: F_α-space, Extremely disconnected space, Zero-dimensional space, EF-space, EZ-space, Reduced ring.
Email address: ataherifar54@gmail.comg.

example is the space $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers). All zero-dimensional spaces are completely regular. A zero-dimensional space need not be a normal space (i.e., disjoint closed subsets are completely separated). For this, the reader can see [6, Example 16.18], the space $\beta T = W^* \times N^*$, where T is the tychonoff plank. This space is zero-dimensional. On the other hand Zero-dimensionality is inherited by subspaces, so T is zero-dimensional. But by [6, 8.20], T is not normal. A space X is *totally disconnected* if and only if the components in X are the points. Equivalently, X is totally disconnected if and only if the only non-empty connected subsets of X are the one-point sets. The following implications characterize the relationship among the notions defined above: X is extremally disconnected and $T_3 \Rightarrow X$ is zero-dimensional $\Rightarrow X$ is totally disconnected. None of the implications can be reversed and counterexamples exist even in the class of metric spaces. For terminology and notations, the reader is referred to [5] and [6].

All rings are assumed to be commutative with identity. By a reduced ring, we mean a ring without nonzero nilpotent elements. An ideal I of a ring R is said to be generated by a subset S of R, if for any $a \in I$, there are two finite subset $\{r_1, r_2, ..., r_n\} \subseteq R$ and $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\} \subseteq S$ such that $a = r_1x_1 + r_2x_2 + ... + r_nx_n$. The ideal generated by a subset S of R denotes by $< S >$. For each subset S of a ring R, $Ann(S) = \{r \in R : rs = 0, \forall s \in S\}$ and we have $Ann(S) = Ann(< S >)$. A ring R is called *SA* (resp., *IN*)-*ring* if for any two ideals I, J of R, $Ann(I) + Ann(J) = Ann(K)$, for some ideal K of R (resp., $Ann(I) + Ann(J) = Ann(I \cap J)$) (see [3]).

Throughout this paper, we denote by $C(X)$, the ring of all real-valued continuous functions on a completely regular Hausdorff space X, and $C^*(X)$ be its subring of bounded functions. A completely regular Hausdorff space X is an F-space if its cozerosets are C^*-embedded. Equivalently, X is an F-space if finitely generated ideals of $C(X)$ are principal. For terminology and notations, the reader is referred to [6] and [1].

For proof of the following lemma see [5, Corollary 3.6.5].

Lemma 1.1 *If A is a clopen subset of a topological space X, then $cl_{\beta X} A$ is a clopen subset of βX.*

For the proof of the following theorems see [6, 1.17] and [6, 1.15].

Theorem 1.2 *A subset S of X is C^*-embedded in X if and only if any two completely separated sets in S are completely separated in X.*

Theorem 1.3 *Two sets are completely separated if and only if they are contained in disjoint zero-sets. Moreover, completely separated sets have disjoint zero-set-neighborhoods.*

2 EF-space

We call a topological space X an *EF-space* if for any two collections $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ of clopen subsets of X with $\bigcup \mathcal{U} \cap \bigcup \mathcal{V} = \emptyset$, we have $\bigcup \mathcal{U}$ and $\bigcup \mathcal{V}$ are completely separated. W prove that for any two ideals I and J of $C(X)$, which are generated by two subsets of idempotent, $Ann(I) + Ann(J) = Ann(I \cap J)$ (i,e., question 1) if and only if X is an EF-space if and only if βX is an EF-space.

According to the above definition and this fact that in normal space disjoint closed subsets are completely separated, the proof of the following lemma is evident.

Lemma 2.1 *Let X be a normal space. Then X is an EF-space if and only if for any two collections $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ of clopen subsets of X with $\bigcup\mathcal{U} \cap \bigcup\mathcal{V} = \emptyset$, we have $cl(\bigcup\mathcal{U}) \cap cl(\bigcup\mathcal{V}) = \emptyset$.*

In [4], Comfort and Negrepontis restrict attention to the class $\mathcal{B}$ of spaces that have a base of closed-and-open sets (e.g., a zero-dimensional space). For X and G open in X, G is of *type* $< \alpha$ if G is the union of $< \alpha$ closed-and-open subsets of X. Then, in the sense of [4], a spce X is an F_α*-space* if every open subset of X of *type* $< \alpha$ is C^*-embedded in X, [4, pp. 350, 343].

Proposition 2.2 (a) *Every connected space is an EF-space.*

(b) *If any union of clopen subsets of X is C^*-embedded, then X is an EF-space.*

(c) *If the closure of any union of clopen subsets of X is an open subset, then X is an EF-space.*

(d) *If X is an F_α-space for each infinite cardinal α in the sense [4], then X is an EF-space.*

(e) *Evey extremely disconnected space is an EF-space.*

Proof. (a) A connected space has no non-empty clopen proper subset, so is an EF-space.

(b) Let for two collections $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ of clopen subsets, $\bigcup\mathcal{U} \cap \bigcup\mathcal{V} = \emptyset$. Define $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \bigcup\mathcal{U}$ and $f(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \bigcup\mathcal{V}$. Then $f \in C(\bigcup\mathcal{U} \cup \bigcup\mathcal{V})$. By hypothesis, there exists $g \in C(X)$ such that $g|_{(\bigcup\mathcal{U}\cup\bigcup\mathcal{V})} = f$. Hence $\bigcup\mathcal{U} \subseteq Z(g)$ and $\bigcup\mathcal{V} \subseteq Z(1-g)$, thus X is an EF-space.

(c) If $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ are two collections of clopen subsets and $\bigcup\mathcal{U} \cap \bigcup\mathcal{V} = \emptyset$, Then $cl\bigcup\mathcal{U} \cap cl\bigcup\mathcal{V} = \emptyset$. Because $cl\bigcup\mathcal{U}$ and $cl\bigcup\mathcal{V}$ are clopen subsets. Hence $cl(\bigcup\mathcal{U})$ and $cl(\bigcup\mathcal{V})$ are disjoint zero sets, so $\bigcup\mathcal{U}$ and $\bigcup\mathcal{V}$ are completely separated.

(d) By (a), this is obvious.

(e). If X is an extremely disconnected, then any open subset of X is C^*-embedded. By (a), X is an EF-space. □

The following Proposition shows that a zero-dimensional space need not be an EF-space.

Proposition 2.3 *Let X be a zero-dimensional space. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(a) *X is an EF-space*

(b) *If $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ are two collections of clopen subsets of X with $\bigcup\mathcal{U} \cap \bigcup\mathcal{V} = \emptyset$, then $cl(\bigcup\mathcal{U}) \cap cl(\bigcup\mathcal{V}) = \emptyset$.*

(c) *The closure of any union of clopen subsets of X is an open subset.*

(d) *X is an extremely disconnected space.*

(e) *Any union of clopen subsets of X is C^*-embedded.*

Proof. By proposition 2.2 and definitions, $(d) \Rightarrow (e) \Rightarrow (a) \Rightarrow (b)$.

$(b) \Rightarrow (c)$ Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a collection of clopen subsets of X. Then $\bigcup\mathcal{U} \cap (X \setminus cl(\bigcup\mathcal{U})) = \emptyset$. But $(X \setminus cl(\bigcup\mathcal{U}))$ is an open subset, hence is a union of clopen subsets. Thus $cl(\bigcup\mathcal{U}) \cap cl(X \setminus cl(\bigcup\mathcal{U})) = \emptyset$. This implies that $cl(\bigcup\mathcal{U}) \subseteq intcl(\bigcup\mathcal{U})$. Therefore, $cl(\bigcup\mathcal{U})$ is open.

$(c) \Rightarrow (d)$ By zero-dimensional hypothesis, any open subset A is a union of clopen subsets, hence $cl(A)$ is the closure of a uion of clopen subsets, so is open. □

In the following example, we provide an EF-space which is neither connected nor extremally disconnected.

Example 2.4 *Let* $X = \{s\} \cup (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}(n, n+1))$, *where* $s \notin (n, n+1)$ *for each* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, F *be an ultrafilter on* $\mathbb{N}$ *and the neighborhoods of* s *is of the form* $\{s\} \cup (\bigcup_{n \in S}(n, n+1))$ *which* $S \in F$. *Easilly seen that* X *is a disconnected space. Also* X *is not extremely disconnected space, for if* $A = (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{7}{4})$, *then* $cl(A)$ *is not open in* X. *Now any clopen subset in* X *is of the form* $\{x\} \cup (\bigcup_{n \in S}(n, n+1))$, $S \in F$ *or* $\bigcup_{n \in K}(n, n+1)$ *which* $K \notin F$. *Hence the closure of any union of clopen subset of* X *is open. Thus* X *is an* EF*-space.*

Example 2.5 *A closed subset of an* EF*-space need not be an* EF*-space. To see this, let* $X = \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ *as a closed subspace of* EF*-space* $\beta\mathbb{N}$. *Then by [6, 6S], the sets* $A' = cl_{\beta\mathbb{N}} A \setminus \mathbb{N}$ *form a base for the open sets in* $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$. *So* X *is a zero-dimensional space. On the other hand by [6, 6W. 3], the closure of union of a strictly increasing sequence of clopen sets is never open. Hence, the Proposition 2.3, shows that* X *is not an* EF*-space.*

Proposition 2.6 *If the closure of any union of clopen subset is open and any union of clopen subsets is* C^**-embedded in its closure, then any union of clopen subsets of* X *is* C^**-embedded in* X.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a collection of clopen subsets. Suppose that A and B are completely separated in $\bigcup\mathcal{U}$. By Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 enough to prove that they are contained in disjoine zero-sets in X. By hypothesis, there are $g_1, g_2 \in C^*(cl(\bigcup\mathcal{U}))$ such that $A \subseteq Z(g_1)$, $B \subseteq Z(g_2)$ and $Z(g_1) \cap Z(g_2) = \emptyset$. Again by hypothesis, there exists an idempotent element $f \in C^*(X)$ such that $cl(\bigcup\mathcal{U})) = coz(f)$. Now we define $h_1(x) = 0$, for all $x \notin coz(f)$ and $h_1(x) = (g_1 f)(x)$, for all $x \in coz(f)$. Also define, $h_2(x) = 1$, for all $x \notin coz(f)$ and $h_2(x) = (g_2 f)(x)$, for all $x \in coz(f)$. Then we have $h_1, h_2 \in C^*(X)$, $A \subseteq Z(g_1) \subseteq Z(h_1)$, $B \subseteq Z(g_2) \subseteq Z(h_2)$ and $Z(h_1) \cap Z(h_2) = \emptyset$. □

The following example shows that a P-space and hence a basically disconnected space need not be an EF-space.

Example 2.7 *[6, 4. N]. Let* X *be an uncountable space in which all points are isolated points except for a distinguished point* s. *A neighborhood of* s *is any set containing* s *which complement is countable, so any set contains* s *is closed. Easily seen that* X *is a* P*-space. So* X *is a basically disconnected. Now, consider two disjoint uncountable subsets* $U, V \subseteq X \setminus \{s\}$. *Then we have* $s \in clU \cap clV$. *So* X *is not an* EF*-space.*

Theorem 2.8 *X is an EF-space if and only if βX is an EF-space.*

Proof. Let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$, $\{A_\gamma : \gamma \in K\}$ be two collection of clopen subsets of βX and $(\bigcup_{\alpha\in S} A_\alpha)\cap(\bigcup_{\gamma\in K} A_\gamma) = \emptyset$. Then we have $(\bigcup_{\alpha\in S} A_\alpha\cap X)\cap(\bigcup_{\gamma\in K} A_\gamma\cap X) = \emptyset$. By hypothesis, there are disjoint zero-sets Z_1 and Z_2 in $Z[X]$ such that;

$$(\textstyle\bigcup_{\alpha\in S} A_\alpha \cap X) \subseteq Z_1 \text{ and } (\bigcup_{\gamma\in K} A_\gamma \cap X) \subseteq Z_2.$$

Therefore we have,

$$(\textstyle\bigcup_{\alpha\in S} A_\alpha) \subseteq cl_{\beta X}(\bigcup_{\alpha\in S} A_\alpha) = cl_{\beta X}(\bigcup_{\alpha\in S} A_\alpha \cap X) \subseteq cl_{\beta X} Z_1,$$

$$(\textstyle\bigcup_{\gamma\in K} A_\gamma) \subseteq cl_{\beta X}(\bigcup_{\beta\in K} A_\gamma) = cl_{\beta X}(\bigcup_{\gamma\in K} A_\gamma \cap X) \subseteq cl_{\beta X} Z_2.$$

On the other hand $Z_1 \cap Z_2 = \emptyset$ implies that $cl_{\beta X} Z_1 \cap cl_{\beta X} Z_2 = \emptyset$. So by normality of βX, $cl_{\beta X} Z_1$ and $cl_{\beta X} Z_2$ are completely separated, i.e, $(\bigcup_{\alpha\in S} A_\alpha)$ and $(\bigcup_{\gamma\in K} A_\gamma)$ are completely separated. Conversely, assume that $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$, $\{A_\gamma : \gamma \in K\}$ are two collection of clopen subsets of X and $(\bigcup_{\alpha\in S} A_\alpha) \cap (\bigcup_{\gamma\in K} A_\gamma) = \emptyset$. By Lemma 1.1, for each $\alpha \in S$ and $\gamma \in K$, $cl_{\beta X} A_\alpha$ and $cl_{\beta X} A_\gamma$ are clopen subsets of βX, so $(\bigcup_{\alpha\in S} cl_{\beta X} A_\alpha) \cap (\bigcup_{\gamma\in K} cl_{\beta X} A_\gamma) = \emptyset$. This and hypothesis implies that $(\bigcup_{\alpha\in S} cl_{\beta X} A_\alpha)$ and $(\bigcup_{\gamma\in K} cl_{\beta X} A_\gamma)$ are completely separated in βX. Hence $(\bigcup_{\alpha\in S} A_\alpha)$ and $(\bigcup_{\gamma\in K} A_\gamma)$ are completely separated in X. □

In the next theorem we will answer question 1. This result is an algebraic characterization of a completely regular hausdorff EF-space. First we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9 *Let R be a reduced ring. The following statements are equivalent.*

(a) For any two orthogonal ideals I and J of R, $Ann(I) + Ann(J) = R$.

(b) For any two ideals I and J of R, $Ann(I) + Ann(J) = Ann(I \cap J)$.

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$. Always we have $Ann(I) + Ann(J) \subseteq Ann(I \cap J)$. Now suppose that $x \in Ann(I\cap J) = Ann(IJ)$. Then $xIJ = 0$. So by (a), $Ann(xI)+Ann(J) = R$. This shows that $1 = a + b$, where $a \in Ann(xI)$ and $b \in Ann(J)$. Therefore $x = xa + xb$, where $xa \in Ann(I)$ and $xb \in Ann(J)$, i.e, $Ann(I\cap J) \subseteq Ann(I) + Ann(J)$.

$(b) \Rightarrow (a)$. If $IJ = 0$, then by hypothesis, we have

$$Ann(I) + Ann(J) = Ann(I \cap J) = Ann(IJ) = R.$$

□

Theorem 2.10 *Let X be a completely regular Hausdorff space. The following ststements are equivalent.*

(a) X is an EF-space.

(b) For any two ideals I and J of $C(X)$ which are generated by two subsets of idempotent elements of $C(X)$, $Ann(I) + Ann(J) = Ann(I \cap J)$.

(c) For any two ideals I and J of $C^*(X)$ which are generated by two subsets of idempotent elements of $C^*(X)$, $Ann(I) + Ann(J) = Ann(I \cap J)$.

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$ let ideals I and J of $C(X)$ are generated by two subsets S_1 , S_2 of idempotent elements of $C(X)$. By Lemma 2.9, we can let $IJ = 0$ and it is enough to prove that $Ann(I) + Ann(J) = C(X)$. $IJ = 0$ implies that

$$(\bigcup COZ(I)) \cap (\bigcup COZ(J)) = (\bigcup COZ(S_1)) \cap (\bigcup COZ(S_2)) = \emptyset.$$

So there are disjoint zero-sets $Z(f_1)$ and $Z(f_2)$ such that;

$$\bigcup COZ(I) = \bigcup COZ(S_1) \subseteq Z(f_1) \text{ and } \bigcup COZ(J) = \bigcup COZ(S_2) \subseteq Z(f_2).$$

Therefore $f_1 \in Ann(I)$, $f_2 \in Ann(J)$ and $Z(f_1^2 + f_2^2) = \emptyset$. Hence $f_1^2 + f_2^2$ is an unit element in $Ann(I) + Ann(J)$, i.e, $Ann(I) + Ann(J) = C(X)$.

$(b) \Rightarrow (a)$ Suppose that $(\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} A_\alpha) \cap (\bigcup_{\beta \in K} A_\beta) = \emptyset$, where for each $\alpha \in S$, $\beta \in K$, A_α and A_β are clopen subsets of X. Easily seen that for each $\alpha \in S$ and $\beta \in K$, there are idempotent elements e_α and e_β in $C(X)$ such that $A_\alpha = coz(e_\alpha)$ and $A_\beta = coz(e_\beta)$. Hence $(\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} coz(e_\alpha)) \cap (\bigcup_{\beta \in K} coz(e_\beta)) = \emptyset$. Now assume that ideals I and J are generated by $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ and $\{e_\beta : \beta \in K\}$. Then we can see that $IJ = 0$. By hypothesis and Lemma 2.9, $Ann(I) + Ann(J) = C(X)$. Hence there are $f \in Ann(I)$, $g \in Ann(J)$ such that $1 = f + g$. Therefore we have, $\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} A_\alpha = \bigcup_{\alpha \in S} coz(e_\alpha) = \bigcup COZ(I) \subseteq Z(f)$ and $\bigcup_{\beta \in K} A_\beta = \bigcup_{\beta \in K} coz(e_\beta) = \bigcup COZ(J) \subseteq Z(g)$. On the other hand $Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \emptyset$. Hence X is an EF-space.

$(c) \Leftrightarrow (a)$ This is a consequence of $(a) \Leftrightarrow (b)$, Theorem 2.8 and this fact that $C^*(X)$ is isomorphic with $C(\beta X)$. □

Recall that, for a subset A of X, $M_A = \{f \in C(X) : A \subseteq Z(f)\}$.

Lemma 2.11 *(a) For every subset S of $C(X)$ we have, $Ann(S) = M_{(\bigcup COZ[S])}$.*

(b) For subsets A, B of X we have, $cl_X A = cl_X B$ if and only if $M_A = M_B$.

Proof. (a) Let $f \in Ann(S)$. Then $fg = 0$, for all $g \in S$. This implies that $\bigcup Coz[S] \subseteq Z(f)$, i.e, $f \in M_{(\bigcup Coz[S])}$. Now $f \in M_{(\bigcup Coz[S])}$, implies that, $Coz(g) \subseteq \bigcup Coz[S] \subseteq Z(f)$ for each $g \in S$, so $f \in Ann(S)$.

(b) If $cl_X A = cl_X B$, then easily seen that $M_A = M_{cl_X A} = M_{cl_X B} = M_B$. Now suppose that $M_A = M_B$ and $x \in cl_X A$. Then $M_A = M_{cl_X A} \subseteq M_x$. If $x \notin cl_X B$, then by completely regularity of X, there exists $f \in C(X)$ such that $x \notin Z(f)$ and $B \subseteq Z(f)$, i.e, $f \in M_B \setminus M_x$, a contradiction. Hence $cl_X A \subseteq cl_X B$. Similarly, we can prove that $cl_X B \subseteq cl_X A$. □

From [9], a commutative ring R with identity is Baer if the annihilator of every nonempty subset of R is generated by an idempotent. The next result is proved in [2]. Now we give a new proof by Lemma 2.11.

Theorem 2.12 *[2, Theorem 3.5] $C(X)$ is a Baer ring if and only if X is an extremely disconnected space.*

Proof. Let A be an open subset of X. Then by completely regularity of X, there exists a subset S of $C(X)$ such that $A = \bigcup COZ[S]$. By hypothesis, there is an idempotent $e \in C(X)$ such that $Ann(S) = Ann(e)$. By Lemma 2.11, $M_{(\bigcup COZ[S])} = M_{coz(e)}$. Hence $clA = cl(\bigcup COZ[S]) = cl(coz(e)) = coz(e)$ is open.

Conversely, Suppose that S is a subset of $C(X)$. Then by hypothesis, $cl(\bigcup COZ[S])$

is open. So there exists an idempotent $e \in C(X)$ such that $cl(\bigcup Z[S]) = coz(e)$. Again by Lemma 2.11, we have, $Ann(S) = M_{(\bigcup Z[S])} = M_{coz(e)} = Ann(e)$. □

Recall that a commutative ring R is an *SA-ring* (resp, *IN-ring*) if for any two idaels I and J of R, $Ann(I) + Ann(J) = Ann(K)$, for some ideal K of R (resp, $Ann(I) + Ann(J) = Ann(I \cap J)$), (see [3]).

Corollary 2.13 *The following statements are equivalent.*
(*a*) *X is an extremely disconnected space.*
(*b*) *C(X) is an IN-ring.*
(*c*) *C(X) is an SA-ring.*
(*d*) *The space of prime ideals of C(X) is an extremely disconnected space.*

Proof. This is a consequence of $[3, Corolarly, 4.5]$, and Theorem 2.12. □

In [12], Swardson has introuduced α-open subset, i.e, A is α-open if $A = \bigcup \mathcal{U}$, where $\mathcal{U}$ is a collection of cozero-sets of X with $|\mathcal{U}| < \alpha$. Also she has defined F_α-space X to be a space which every α-open subset of X is C*-embedded in X. She proved that a space X is an F_α-space if and only if any two disjoint α-open subsets of X are completely separated in X (see [12, Theorem 2.3]).

Theorem 2.14 *A topological space X is an F_α-space in the sense[12] if and only if for any two α-generated ideals I and J of $C(X)$, $Ann(I) + Ann(J) = Ann(I \cap J)$.*

Proof. By [12, Theorem 2.3], the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.10 step by step. □

Corollary 2.15 *A topological space X is an F-space if and only if for any two $f, g \in C(X)$, $Ann(f) + Ann(g) = Ann(fg)$.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Let $fg = 0$. Then $coz(f) \cap coz(g) = \emptyset$. By hypothesis, there are $f_1, f_2 \in C(X)$ such that $coz(f) \subseteq z(f_1)$, $coz(g) \subseteq z(f_2)$ and $z(f_1) \cap z(f_2) = \emptyset$ so $f_1 \in Ann(f)$, $f_2 \in Ann(g)$ and $f_1^2 + f_2^2$ is an unit element in $Ann(f) + Ann(g)$. Thus $Ann(f) + Ann(g) = C(X)$. By this equality and Lemma 2.9, we have $Ann(f) + Ann(g) = Ann(< f >) + Ann(< g >) = Ann(< f > \cap < g >) = Ann(fg)$.

($\Leftarrow$) Clearly X is an F_{ω_1}-space in the sense [12] if and only if X is an F-space. On the other hand, easily seen that for any ω_1-generated ideal I of $C(X)$, there exists $f \in C(X)$ such that $Ann(I) = Ann(f)$. By Theorem 2.14, X is an F-space. □

Corollary 2.16 $[12, Proposition, 2.2]$ *X is extremely disconnected space if and only if X is F_α-space for each infinite cardinal α in the sense [12].*

Proof. This is a consequence of Corollary 2.13 and Theorem 2.14.

3 EZ-spaces

We call a topological space X an *EZ space* if for every open subset A of X there exists a collection $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ of clopen subsets of X such that $cl_X A = cl_X(\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} A_\alpha)$. In this section, it is proved that a completely regular Hausdorff space X is an EZ-space if and only if for any ideal I of $C(X)$ there exists a subset S of idampotent elements of $C(X)$ such that $Ann(I) = Ann(S)$ (i.e., question 2) if and only if for any $f \in C(X)$ there exists a subset S of idampotent elements of $C(X)$ such that $Ann(f) = Ann(S)$ if and only if βX is an EZ-space. As a consequence, a completely regular Hausdorff space X is an EF and EZ-space if and only if X is an extremally disconnected space if and only if X is an F_α-space for each infinite cardinal α in the sense[12] if and only if X is an F_α-space for each infinite cardinal α in the sense [4].

Proposition 3.1 (a) *Every extremely disconnected space is an EZ-space.*

(b) *Every zero-dimensional space is an EZ-space.*

(c) *Every T_1-space which contains a dense set of isolated points is an EZ-space.*

(d) *Every open subset of an EZ-space is an EZ-space.*

Proof. $(a), (b)$ Are obvious.

(c) Let X be a T_1-space and Y a dense subset of X consisting of isolated points. Then for any open subset A of X, we have $cl_X(A) = cl_X(A \cap Y)$, which $A \cap Y$ is the union of clopen singleton point subsets.

(d) Assume that Y be an open subset of X. Then by hypothesis, for any open subset A of Y, there exists a collection $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ of clopen subsets of X such that $cl_X(A) = cl_X(\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} A_\alpha)$. Now Y is open in X, so $cl_Y(A) = cl_X(A) \cap Y = cl_X(\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} A_\alpha) \cap Y = cl_Y(\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} A_\alpha \cap Y)$. □

Proposition 3.2 *Let $X = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in S} X_\alpha$. Then X is an EZ-space if and only if each X_α is an EZ-space.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Each X_α is open in X. By Proposition 3.1 (d), each X_α is an EZ-space.

($\Leftarrow$) Suppose that A be an open subset in X. Then $A \cap X_\alpha$ is open in X_α. Therefore, for each $\alpha \in S$, there exists a collection $\{A_\alpha^\beta : \beta \in S_\alpha\}$ of clopen subsets of X_α such that $cl_{X_\alpha}(A \cap X_\alpha) = cl_{X_\alpha}(\bigcup_{\beta \in S_\alpha} A_\alpha^\beta)$. Now it is easy to see that $cl_X A = cl_X \bigcup_{\alpha \in S}(\bigcup_{\beta \in S_\alpha} A_\alpha^\beta)$, i.e, X is an EZ-space. □

Theorem 3.3 *(a) Every dense subset of an EZ-space is an EZ-space.*

(b) X is an EZ-space if and only if βX is an EZ-space.

Proof. (a) Let Y be a dense subset of a topological space X and A be an open subset of Y. Then there exists an open subset G of X such that $A = G \cap Y$. By hypothesis, there exists a collection $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ of clopen subsets of X such that $cl_X G = cl_X(\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} A_\alpha)$. On the other hand $cl_X A = cl_X(G \cap Y) = cl_X G$. So we have, $cl_Y A = cl_X A \cap Y = cl_X(\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} A_\alpha \cap Y) \cap Y = cl_Y(\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} A_\alpha \cap Y)$.

(b) If βX is an EZ-space, then by (a), X is an EZ-space. Now assume that U be an open subset of βX. Then $U \cap X$ is an open subset of X. By hypothesis, there exists a collection $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ of clopen subsets of X such that $cl_X(U \cap X) = cl_X(\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} A_\alpha)$. We claim that $cl_{\beta X} U = cl_{\beta X}(\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} cl_{\beta X} A_\alpha)$, where by Lemma 1.1, for each $\alpha \in S$, $cl_{\beta X} A_\alpha$ is a clopen subset in βX. We have,

$$cl_{\beta X} U = cl_{\beta X}(U \cap X) \subseteq cl_{\beta X}(cl_X \textstyle\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} A_\alpha) =$$

$$cl_{\beta X}(cl_{\beta X}(\bigcup A_\alpha) \cap X) \subseteq cl_{\beta X}((\bigcup cl_{\beta X} A_\alpha)).$$

On the other hand, we have,

$$cl_{\beta X}(\bigcup cl_{\beta X} A_\alpha) = cl_{\beta X}(\bigcup cl_{\beta X} A_\alpha \cap X) = cl_{\beta X}(\bigcup cl_X A_\alpha) = cl_{\beta X}(cl_X(U \cap X)) = cl_{\beta X}(cl_{\beta X}(U \cap X) \cap X) = cl_{\beta X}(cl_{\beta X}(U) \cap X) \subseteq cl_{\beta X} U. \qquad \square$$

A completely regular Hausdorff EZ-space need not even be totally disconnected space. The following example, (i.e., (a)) was presented by Brain M. Scot for another purpose. Also, by Theorem 3.3, we have another example of EZ-space which is not a zero-dimensional space.

Example 3.4 *(a) For* $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ *let*

$$D_n = \left\{ \left\langle \frac{2k+1}{2^n}, \frac{1}{2^n} \right\rangle : k = 0, \ldots, 2^{n-1} - 1 \right\} ,$$

and let $D = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} D_n$ *. Now suppose that* $X = D \cup [0,1]$ *as a subspace of* $\mathbb{R}^2$ *with the usual topology. Then* D *is a countable dense set of isolated points in* X*. By proposition 3.1 (c),* X *is an* EZ*-space. On tha other hand* X *is not a totally disconnected, i.e,* X *is not a zero-dimensional.*

(b) By Dowkers Example [5, Example, 6.2.20], we have a zero-dimensional space Y *which* βY *is not zero-dimensional. On that other hand by Theorem 3.3,* βY *is an* EZ*-space.*

A closed subset of an EZ-space need not be an EZ-space. Because $Y = [0,1]$ as a closed subset of X in the above example (i.e., (a)), is not an EZ-space.

In the following theorem we answer question 1 and it is an algebraic characterization of a completely regular Hausdorff EZ-space.

Theorem 3.5 *Let* X *be a completely regular Hausdorff space. The following statements are equivalent.*

(a) X *is an* EZ*-space.*

(b) *For every ideal* I *of* $C(X)$*, there exists a subset* S *of idempotent elements of* $C(X)$ *such that* $Ann(I) = Ann(S)$*.*

(c) *For every* $f \in C(X)$*, there exists a subset* S *of idempotent elements of* $C(X)$ *such that* $Ann(f) = Ann(S)$*.*

(d) *For every cozero-set* H*, of* $COZ[X]$ *there exists a collection* $\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ *of clopen subsets of* X *such that* $cl_X H = cl_X(\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} H_\alpha)$*.*

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$ For an ideal I of $C(X)$ consider, $A = \bigcup COZ[I]$. Then by hypothesis, there exists a collection $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ of clopen subsets of X such that $cl_X A = cl_X(\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} A_\alpha)$. Easily seen that for each $\alpha \in S$, there exists an idempotent e_α such that $A_\alpha = coz(e_\alpha)$. Now suppose that $S = \{e_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$. Then by Lemma 2.11, we have, $Ann(I) = M_{\bigcup COZ[I]} = M_{\bigcup COZ[S]} = Ann(S)$.

$(b) \Rightarrow (a)$ Let A be an open subset of X. We know that in a completely regular space X, $COZ[X]$ is a base for open subsets. So there exists a subset K of $C(X)$ such that $A = \bigcup COZ[K]$. Now suppose that I be the ideal generated by K in

$C(X)$. Then by hypothesis, there exists a subset S of idempotent elements of $C(X)$ such that $M_A = Ann(I) = Ann(S) = M_{\bigcup COZ[S]}$. Therefore by Lemma 2.11, we have, $cl_X(A) = cl_X(\bigcup COZ[S])$.

$(b) \Rightarrow (c)$ For any $f \in C(X)$, we have $Ann(f) = Ann(< f >)$. By hypothesis, there exists a subset S of idempotent such that $Ann(< f >) = Ann(S)$. Hence $Ann(f) = Ann(S)$.

$(c) \Rightarrow (b)$ Let I be an ideal of $C(X)$. Then $Ann(I) = \bigcap_{f\in I} Ann(f)$. By hypothesis, for each $f \in I$ tehre exists a subet S_f of idempotent such that $Ann(f) = Ann(S_f)$. Therefore $Ann(I) = \bigcap_{f\in I} Ann(S_f) = Ann(\bigcup_{f\in I} S_f)$.

$(c) \Leftrightarrow (d)$ This is similar to that of $(a) \Leftrightarrow (b)$ step by step. □

Recal that, a subspace Y of a space X is a z-embedded in X if for every zero-set Z in Y there is a zero-set H in X such that $Z = H \cap Y$. For example, a C^*-embedded subspace is clearly z-embedded (see [10]).

Corollary 3.6 *If X is a completely regular Hausdorff EZ-space X, then every z-embedded subspace is an EZ-space.*

Proof. Let Y be a z-embedded subspace of an EZ-space X and H a cozer-set in Y. Then by hypothesis and Theorem 3.5, there exists a cozero-set C in X and a collection $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ of clopen subsets of X such that $H = C \cap Y$ and $cl_X C = cl_X(\bigcup_{\alpha\in S} A_\alpha)$. Therefore $cl_Y H = cl_Y(C \cap Y) = cl_X C \cap Y = cl_Y(\bigcup_{\alpha\in S} A_\alpha \cap Y)$. □

It is well known that if X is a zero-dimensional and F_α-space for each infinite cardinal α in the sense [12], then X is an F_α-space for each infinite cardinal α, in the sense [4]. In the next result we give the necessary and sufficient conditions under which these two concept of F_α-space coincide for each infinite cardinal α.

Theorem 3.7 *Let X be a completely regular Hausdorff space X. The following statements are equivalent.*

(a) X is an extremely disconnected space.

(b) X is EF and EZ-space.

(c) X is an F_α-space for each infinite cardinal α, in the sense [12].

(d) X is an F_α-space for each infinite cardinal α, in the sense [4].

(e) The closure of any union of clopen subsets is open and X is an EZ-space.

Proof. $(a) \Leftrightarrow (c)$ Flows from Corollary 2.16.

$(a) \Rightarrow (b)$ By Propositions 2.2 and 3.1, any extremely disconnected space is EF and EZ.

$(b) \Rightarrow (a)$ Let X be EF and EZ-space and I, J are ideals of $C(X)$. Then by Theorem 3.5 and 2.10, there are two subsets S_1 and S_2 of idempotent elements of $C(X)$ such that $Ann(I) + Ann(J) = Ann(S_1) + Ann(S_2) = Ann(S_1 \cap S_2)$. This shows that $C(X)$ is an SA-ring. By Corollary 2.13, X is an extremely disconnected space.

$(a) \Rightarrow (d)$ Every extremely disconnected T_3-space is zero-dimensional. On the other hand any open subset of an extremely disconnected space is C^*-embedded so any union of clopen subsets is C^*-embedded. Therefore X is an F_α-space for each infinite cardinal α in the sense [4] .

$(d) \Rightarrow (a)$ If X is F_α-space , for each infinite cardinal α in the sense [4], then by

Proposition 2.2 , X is an EF-space. On the other hand X is zero-dimensional so is an EZ-space. Hence by $(b) \Rightarrow (a)$, X is an extremely disconnected space.

$(a) \Rightarrow (e)$ This is obvious.

$(e) \Rightarrow (a)$ Let A be an open subet. Then by hypothesis, there exists a collection $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ of clopen subsets such that $clA = cl(\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} A_\alpha)$. Again by hypothesis, clA is open, i.e, X is an extremely disconnected space. $\square$

4 Spec(R) as an EZ-space

In this section, for a reduced ring R, we prove that Spec(R) is an EZ-space if and only if for every ideal I of R there exists a subset S of idempotent elements of R such that $Ann(I) = Ann(S)$ (a general case of question 2) if and only if for any $a \in R$, there exists a subset S of idempotent elements of R such that $Ann(I) = Ann(S)$.

For $a \in R$, let $supp(a) = \{P \in Spec(R) : a \notin P\}$. It is easy to see that for any R, $\{supp(a) : a \in R\}$ forms a basis of open sets on Spec(R) (i.e., the space of prime ideals of R). This topology is called the Zariski topology. We use $V(I)(V(a))$ to denote the set of $P \in Spec(R)$, where $I \subseteq P(a \in P)$. Note that $V(I) = \bigcap_{a \in I} V(a)$ and $V(a) = Spec(R) \setminus supp(a)$ (see [8]).

For an open subset A of Spec(R), suppose that $O_A := \{a \in R : A \subseteq V(a)\}$. Since for any $a, b \in R$, $V(a) \cap V(b) \subseteq V(a-b)$ and for each $r \in R$, $a \in O_A$, we have $V(a) \subseteq V(ra)$, thus O_A is an ideal of R. It is easy to see that $O_A = \bigcap_{P \in A} P$ and $V(O_A) = clA$, where clA is the cluster points of A in Spec(R).

An ideal I of a commutative ring R is said to be annihilator ideal provided that $Ann(Ann(I)) = I$, equivalently, if $Ann(I) \subseteq Ann(x)$, and $x \in R$, then $x \in I$.

Lemma 4.1 *Let R be a reduced ring.*

(a) For ideals I, J of R, $Ann(I) \subseteq Ann(J)$ if and only if $intV(I) \subseteq intV(J)$.

(b) For an open subset A of Spec(R), O_A is an annihilator ideal.

(c) If I is an annihilator ideal of R, then there exists an open subset A of Spec(R) such that $I = O_A$.

(d) For open subsets A, B of Spec(R), $O_A = O_B$ if and only if $clB = clA$.

(e) $A \subseteq spec(R)$ is a clopen subset if and only if there is an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $A = V(e) = D(1-e)$.

(f) For any ideal I of R, $Ann(I) = O_{(\bigcup D(I))}$.

Proof. (a) let I and J be two ideals of R and $P \in intV(I)$. Then there is $a \in R$ such that $P \in supp(a) \subseteq V(I)$. Hence $supp(Ia) = supp(I) \cap supp(a) = \emptyset$, thus $Ia = 0$. This implies that $a \in Ann(I) \subseteq Ann(J)$, so $Ja = 0$. Therefore $P \in supp(a) \subseteq intV(J)$. Conversely, let $x \in Ann(I)$. Then $Ix = 0$. so $supp(x) \subseteq intV(I) \subseteq intV(J)$. This shows that $supp(Jx) = supp(x) \cap supp(J) = \emptyset$. Hence $Jx = 0$, i.e, $x \in Ann(J)$.

(b) Let $Ann(O_A) \subseteq Ann(x)$. By (a), $A \subseteq intclA = intV(O_A) \subseteq intV(x) \subseteq V(x)$, so $x \in O_A$.

(c) Suppose that I is an annihilator ideal and $A = intV(I)$. We claim that $I = O_A$. If $a \in I$, then $intV(I) \subseteq V(a)$, i.e, $a \in O_A$. Now let $a \in O_A$. Then $A =$

$intV(I) \subseteq intV(a)$, so $Ann(I) \subseteq Ann(a)$. On the other hand I is an annihilator, hence $a \in I$.

(d) $O_A = O_B$, implies that $V(O_B) = V(O_A)$, i.e, $cl(B) = cl(A)$. Conversely, $clA = clB$ implies that $O_A = O_{clA} = O_{clB} = O_B$.

(e) Let A be a clopen subset, $I = O_A$ and $J = O_{A^c}$. Then $A = clA = V(O_A) = V(I)$ and $A^c = V(O_{A^c}) = V(J)$. Hence $V(I+J) = V(I) \cap V(J) = \emptyset$, so there are $a \in I$ and $b \in J$ such that $1 = a + b$. But $V(a) \cup V(b) = Spec(R)$, thus we have $ab = 0$, this implies that $a = a^2$ and $V(I) = V(a)$. The converse is evident.

(f) If $r \in Ann(I)$, then $ra = 0$, for all $a \in I$, so $\bigcup D(I) \subseteq V(r)$, this shows that $r \in O_{\bigcup D(I)}$. Now $r \in O_{\bigcup D(I)}$, implies that $D(a) \subseteq V(r)$, for all $a \in I$, so $D(a) \cap D(r) = D(ra) = \emptyset$, i.e, $ra = 0$. Hence $r \in Ann(I)$. □

Theorem 4.2 *Let R be a reduced ring. The followung statements are equivalent.*

(a) *The space of prime ideals, Spec(R), is an EZ-space.*

(b) *For every ideal I of R, there exists a subset E of idempotent elements of R such that $Ann(I) = Ann(E)$.*

(c) *For every $a \in R$, there exists a subset S of idempotent elemnts of R such that $Ann(a) = Ann(S)$.*

(d) *For any $a \in R$, there exists a subset S of clopen subsets of Spec(R) such that $clD(a) = cl \bigcup D(S)$.*

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$ Let I be an ideal of R. Then we have $\bigcup D(I)$ is an open subset of Spec(R). By hypothesis, there exists a collection $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ of clopen subsets such that $cl(\bigcup D(I)) = cl(\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} A_\alpha)$. By Lemma 4.1, for each $\alpha \in S$ there exists an idempotent e_α such that $A_\alpha = D(e_\alpha)$. Therefore, $cl(\bigcup D(I)) = cl(\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} D(e_\alpha))$. Again by Lemma 4.1, we have $Ann(I) = Ann(E)$ where $E = \{e_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$.

$(b) \Rightarrow (a)$ Let A be an open subset of Spec(R). Then there exists a subset K of R such that $A = \bigcup D[K]$. Now suppose that I be the ideal generated by K in R. Then by hypothesis and Lemma 4.1, there exists a subset E of idempotent elements of R such that $O_A = Ann(I) = Ann(E) = O_{\bigcup D[E]}$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.1, we have $cl(A) = cl(\bigcup D[E])$.

$(b) \Rightarrow (c)$ This is evident.

$(c) \Rightarrow (b)$ For an ideal I of R we have $Ann(I) = \bigcap_{a \in I} Ann(a)$. By hypothesis, for each $a \in R$ there exists a subset S_a of idempotent such that $Ann(a) = Ann(S_a)$. Hence $Ann(I) = \bigcap_{a \in I} Ann(S_a) = Ann(\bigcup_{a \in I} S_a)$.

$(c) \Leftrightarrow (d)$ By Lemma 4.1, $Ann(a) = Ann(S)$ for some subset S of R if and only if $O_{D(a)} = O_{\bigcup D(S)}$ if and only if $clD(a) = cl(\bigcup D(S))$. □

Corollary 4.3 *Let X be a completely regular Hausdorff space. Then X is an EZ-space if and only if $Spec(C(X))$ is an EZ-space.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Theorems 3.5 and 4.2. □

Questions 4.4 *Let X be a completely regular Hausdorff space.*

(a) *Is the converse of Proposition 2.2 (a) true?*

(b) *Is the converse of Proposition 2.2 (b) true?*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author wishes to thank Dr. E. Momtahen for his encouragement and discussion on this paper.

References

[1] A. R. Aliabad, *z^0-ideals in $C(X)$, Ph.D. thesis,* 1996.

[2] F. Azarpanah and O. A. S. Karamzadeh, *Algebraic characterization of some disconnected spaces, Inst. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 12(2002)*,* 155-168.

[3] G. F. Birkenmeier, M.Ghirati and A.Taherifar*, *When is a sum of annihilator ideals an annihilator ideal? Submitted.*

[4] W. W. Comfort and S. Negrepontis, *The Theory of Ultrafilters, Die Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften, Band* 211*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin,* 1974*.*

[5] R. Engelking, *General Topology, PWN-Polish Sci. Publ,* 1977*.*

[6] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions, Springer,* 1976*.*

[7] E. Hewitt, *A problem of set-theoretic topology, Duke Math. J. 10* (1943)*,* 309-333*.*

[8] J. A. Hucaba , *Commutative Ring, Allyn and Bacon Inc, Boston,* 1970*.*

[9] L. Kaplansky, *Rings Of Operators, Mathematics Lecture Note series, W. A. Benjamin, New York,* 1968*.*

[10] M. Mandelker, *F'-spaces and z-emnedded subspaces, Pacific journal of mathematics. 28, No.* 3*,* 1969

[11] W. Sierpinski, *Sur les ensembles connexes et non connexes, Fund. Math.* 2(1921)*,* 81-95*.*

[12] M. A. Swardson, *A generalization of F-space and some toplogical characterizations of GCH, Transactions of the American Mathematical Socity,* 279(1983)*,* 661-675*.*

[13] M. H. Stone, *Algebraic characterizations of special Boolean rings, Fund. Math.* 29(1937)*,* 223-302*.*